## **CEAB** accreditation feedback - Higher Education Institution post-decision

## (untitled)

		*		If you responded yes or
	Yes	Partially	No	partially, what obstacle(s) did you encounter at your institution?
1. Has the CEAB accreditation process posed an obstacle to innovative and adaptive change for engineering programs at your institution?	О	C	O	

		*		Please comment, including describing the actions accreditation led to (e.g., changes to capstone, increasing internships, course assessment changes, admission changes, etc.):
	Yes	Partially	No	
2. Did the CEAB accreditation process lead to specific actions to enhance the quality of the engineering program?	O	O	0	

		*		Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if you selected partially or no.
3. If asked, could you describe the steps in the CEAB decision-making process for accreditation status?	O	O	О	

Was the visiting team's approach to applying CEAB accreditation criteria ...

		,	k		Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	Unknown	if you selected partially or no.
4a. Consistent across engineering programs on this visit?	O	O	О	O	
4b. Consistent with previous visits you have experienced?	O	O	O	O	

When changes to CEAB accreditation criteria or procedures have been considered...

	Yes	Partially	* No	Not	Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
		· · · · ·		applicable	
5a. Did you feel consulted?	О	0	O	0	
5b. Did you have an opportunity to provide feedback on proposals?	O	0	O	0	
5c. If you provided feedback, did you feel your feedback was considered?	O	O	0	0	
5d. Were you informed when change was implemented?	O	o	O	0	

		*		Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if you selected partially or no.
6. Were the accreditation results and processes since the visit aligned with your understanding of CEAB accreditation criteria?	0	O	0	
7. In your experience, has the implementation of the CEAB accreditation process been consistent with the values and ethics of the engineering profession? (e.g., act professionally, manage conflicts of interest, respect your scope of practice, show your work)	0	0	0	
8. Overall, do you trust the CEAB accreditation system's assessment of engineering programs?	О	O	О	

		*		Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if you selected partially or no.
9. In your role as a higher education institution's dean or designated official or program lead, were you provided with the tools you needed for your accreditation role?	0	O	О	
10. From your perspective, does the CEAB accreditation process represent an efficient design, where the time and resources you invested were worthwhile?	0	0	O	
11. Please describe any signaccreditation process.	nifica	ant POS	ITIV	E outcomes of the CEAB
12. Please describe any sig		ant NEG	iATI'	VE outcomes of the

13. Please provide any additional comments or ideas you would like						
to share with us about the CEAB accreditation system, including but						
not limited to comments on visit documents such as the						
Questionnaire, the visit process, or schedule; advice provided by						
Engineers Canada staff or the visiting team chair; and this feedback						
process.						